

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,982	12/14/2001	Vincent Auffray	FR920000065US1	4957
45092 75	590 09/04/2008		EXAMINER	
HOFFMAN WARNICK LLC 75 STATE ST				
14TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALBANY, NY 12207				

DATE MAILED: 09/04/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37) Application No. 10/016,982 Examiner JOSHUA D. CAMPBELL 2178 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addressThe Appeal Brief filed on 02 July 2008 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37. To avoid diaminated of the appeal applicant must file approach brief or other appropriate correction (see MBER)

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. 🔲	heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. 🔲	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	The summary of claimed subject matter does not provide a proper concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each independent claim. A concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims

involved in the appeal must refer to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. It is not enough to simply provide citations to the claim limitations as shown on pages 3 and 4 of the appellant's brief, rather the appellant must provide citations in the actual concise explanation (shown on pages 2 and 3 of the appellant's brief) that properly show how the explanation properly defines the claimed limitations.

/Joshua D Campbell/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2178